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#### Abstract

This research describes line balancing systems and how to reduce idle time by proper line balancing and time analysis. This article provided a brief description of the knit garment industry's line balancing technique. The objectives of this report is about the implementation of line balancing technique to minimize the idle time. This project explains how line balance may actually accelerate the manufacturing process. Firstly it has been observed that the total no of manpower which has been needed to complete the every operation of a garments before and after the line balancing. Here, the formula was used to calculate the cycle time. Then it has been observed the efficiency of that line. The times were recorded and improved by minimizing downtime and wastes. Because of the time saved, there will be more time available increasing the production. The various line balancing parameters were implemented in this work, and the outcomes were discussed. Process planning, production, and manufacturing in an industry will benefit from the line balancing method.
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## CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of The Study

In order to eliminate bottlenecks and excess capacity, line balancing helps to balance the workload across all processes in a line stream. Production lines can be made more flexible to handle both internal and external imperfections by using line balancing. Balancing in the context of a textile line refers to the placement of a sewing machine in conformance with the style and design of a particular product. It is carried out to improve productivity.

Garments are produced in lines or by a large amount of machines instead of a single machine when bulk production is taken into consideration. A line could be an assembly line, a modular line or section, or one with both online and offline packaging and finishing capabilities. A line consists of numerous workstations with different work tasks. The amount of output produced per hour varies depending on the work content (average minutes per operation), the allocation of entire workforce to an operation, the operator's skill level and the machine's capacity. The bottleneck operation for that line is the one with the lowest production per hour.

For example, in a line of 50 machines, 15 workers are not operating without an extra bundle, yet the bundle is functioning as required. Assume that they all wait for the following bundle for 40 seconds. If they produced 500 pieces per day, a single operator will be idle for a total of 2000 seconds.

### 1.2 Objectives of The Study

a) To minimize the number of machine and manpower.
b) To minimize the cycle time and improve productivity.
c) To remove bottlenecks and idle time.
d) To maximize the workload smoothness.

### 1.3 Significance of The Study

a) Line balancing ensures in determining the new machine and total number of machine needed for the new style of every student.
b) It becomes faster to provide a specific work to each operator.
c) It also helps to learn about machine layout with actual production of an expert.
d) It also helps to reduce production time and increase productivity in any industry.

### 1.4 Limitations

a) Application of a data collection strategy.
b) Exclusion of relevant study in the field.
c) Face difficulties to get accurate data as per company policy.

## CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Line Balancing

In order to match the production rate to the takt time, line balancing involves balancing human and machine time. Takt time is the time at which components or goods must be produced to keep up with demand from customers.

Production time must match takt time perfectly for a given production line to be properly balanced. If not, resources should be redistributed or reconfigured in order to eliminate bottlenecks or excess capacity. To achieve the best production rate, the numbers of people and machines allocated to each activity in the line should be rebalanced.

On that line, the operation with the lowest production per hour is referred to as the bottleneck operation. The output of a line is controlled by its bottleneck operation. The production of bottleneck processes or operations must thus be increased for this reason.

### 2.1.1 Benefits of Line Balancing

a) Minimize inventory waste.
b) Minimize waiting time waste.
c) Maximum human and machine inputs.
d) Adapt to both internal and external disruptions.
e) Enhance profitability and cut production expenses.
f) Minimal processing period.
g) Reducing down time.
h) Highest productivity.

### 2.1.2 Line Balancing Steps

In any case, line balancing is concerned with two unique applications: "Opening the line" and "Operating the line." The method of line balancing might vary from factory to factory and depends on the garments developed.
a) Opening the line.

- Calculation of labor requirements.
- Operation breakdown.
- Theoretical operation balance.
- Initial balance.
b) Operating the line.
- Balance control.


### 2.2 Idle Time

Operators must frequently wait for work between bundles in garment factories that use the line manufacturing system. We refer to this waiting period as idle time. This downtime is a period of little or no work between two bundles of work. The productivity and labor efficiency are both decreased by idle time.

### 2.2.1 What caused the idle time

a) Establishing a line.
b) Asymmetric cutting bundle.
c) Poor sewing quality.
d) Issue with cutting quality.
e) Load shedding.
f) Planning issue Extra time for material handling.

### 2.2.2 The necessity of keeping records of idle time

Although factories' best efforts, they occasionally fail to find a solution for efficiency improvement. For them, focusing on lowering idle time is a chance to boost manufacturing productivity. The majority of clothing manufacturing fail to track idle time. They simply let it pass. One explanation could be that management is trying to cover up their inefficiency by not maintaining a balanced line with adequate WIP at each workstation.

### 2.3 SMV/SAM

SMV for standard minute value, refers to the amount of time typically needed to complete a task using the most effective way.

### 2.3.1 Calculation of SAM through Time Study

Step 1: Choose one procedure for which you wish to perform SAM calculations.

Step 2: Take One stopwatch. Position yourself close to the operator. cycle time for the operation's capture. Cycle time is the overall amount of time required to accomplish all tasks for one operation. That refers to the interval between picking up the first piece's final portion and the subsequent piece. Perform time studies for five cycles in a row. If any cycle contains an irregular time, discard it. Compute the 5 cycles' average. Cycle time is the time you obtained from your time study. You must multiply cycle time by operator performance rating in order to convert this cycle time to basic time. Here, Basic Time $=$ Cycle Time X performance Rating.

Step 3: Score for performance. When you see the operator's movement and work speed, you must now assign him a performance rating based on how well he completed the task. Consider an $80 \%$ operator performance rating. For example, 0.50 minute cycle time. Basic time $=(0.50 \mathrm{X}$ $80 \%)=0.4$ minutes.

Step 4: Standard allowed minutes $(S A M)=($ Basic minute + Bundle allowances + machine and personal allowances). Add bundle allowances (10\%) and machine and personal allowances ( $20 \%$ ) to basic time. Now you got Standard Minute value (SMV) or SAM. SAM= $(0.4+0.04+0.08)=0.52$ minutes .

### 2.4 Time study

A qualified worker analyzes a certain task to determine the most efficient way to complete it in terms of time and effort. This process is known as time study. The study uses the best approach available to calculate the amount of time required for the work or job at hand.

### 2.5 Work study

Work study is the analysis of an activity-conducting strategy. It gauges the available resources, the performance of a given task, and its usual setup. Productivity is increased by work study.

### 2.6 Bottleneck

When input enters a process more quickly than the subsequent phase can use it to produce output, the process becomes bottlenecked. In Annexure 3, which is highlighted in light brown, we have identified the bottleneck operations that are marked as make and join care label, back neck elastic tape joint, match sleeve pair and sleeve and body, sleeve hem, hem raw edge cut, security tack and thread cut body turn. These seven workstations have slowed down production, and the bottleneck processes are still holding a lot of unfinished work.

### 2.6.1 Bottleneck occurs before input in line

a) If cutting material supplies from other sections and sub stores are not delivered in a timely manner.
b) If the material is delayed.
c) Serial number issue in the bundle.
d) Bundling fault.

### 2.6.2 Bottleneck occurs in line

a) Incorrect worker selection.
b) Incorrect order of the pieces.
c) Unbalanced elemental distribution.
d) Employee negligence at work.
e) Employee absence.
f) Machine stutters.
g) Absence of supply.

### 2.6.3 Method for minimizing the bottleneck

a) To schedule a meeting before production.
b) Before entry into the line, prepare the layout sheet.
c) Before releasing items into the line, to inspect textiles and accessories.
d) To better prepare, the layout sheet should be submitted to the maintenance section at least 2-3 days in advance.
e) Before supplying the line, to inspect the pattern.
f) To choose the best employees for the job.
g) To maintain supply timely attainable.
h) To preserve the serial number. Rejected clothing shouldn't be distributed.
i) After verifying, the supply should be forwarded.
j) Warning when bundling (maintain serial number).
k) By enhancing the representation of employees.

## CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

### 3.1 Experiment: 01

Item: Basic T-Shirt

Buyer: H\&M


Figure 3.1 Experiment: 01
©Daffodil International University


Figure 3.2 Experiment: 01

According to the factory data, It has been observed that The Standard SMV Of The Basic T-SHIRT $=4.13$

Here,
BPT $=$ SMV/ Total No. Of Process
$=4.13 / 17$
$=0.24 \mathrm{Min}$.

So, Line Production Target $=60 / \mathrm{BPT}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =60 / 0.24 \\
& =250
\end{aligned}
$$

## Before Balancing:

Table 3.1 Before Balancing

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SL } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Process <br> Name | M/C <br> Type | Work station | Average <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity } \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idle } \\ & \text { Pcs } \end{aligned}$ | Idle Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1^{\text {st }}$ shoulder join | OL | 1 | 10.2 | 300 | 250 | 50 | 510 |
| 2 | Shoulder thread cut | Helper | 1 | 5.25 | 582 | 250 | 332 | 1743 |
| 3 | Neck joint | OL | 1 | 11.9 | 257 | 250 | 7 | 83.3 |
| 4 | Back tape attach | FLFS | 1 | 11.8 | 259 | 250 | 9 | 106.2 |
| 5 | Back tape excess cut | Helper | 1 | 5.5 | 556 | 250 | 306 | 1683 |
| 6 | Back tape close with label | SNLS | 1 | 12.05 | 253 | 250 | 3 | 36.15 |
| 7 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ side shoulder joint | OL | 1 | 11.75 | 260 | 250 | 10 | 117.5 |
| 8 | Neck tack \& $1 / 4$ tack | SNLS | 1 | 11.9 | 257 | 250 | 7 | 83.3 |
| 9 | Sleeve hem | FLCB | 1 | 12.1 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 24.2 |
| 10 | Sleeve hem thread cut | Helper | 1 | 5.3 | 577 | 250 | 327 | 1733.1 |
| 11 | Care label make | SNLS | 1 | 12 | 255 | 250 | 5 | 60 |
| 12 | Sleeve joint | OL | 1 | 34.57 | 88 | 250 | -162 | -5600 |


| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Side seam with <br> care label | OL | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Side seam <br> thread cut | Helper | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ |
| 15 | Sleeve tack \& $1 / 4$ <br> tack | SNLS | 1 | 12.07 | 253 | 250 | 3 | 36.21 |
| 16 | Body fold before <br> bottom hem | Helper | 1 | 11.37 | 269 | 250 | 19 | 216.03 |
| 17 | Bottom hem | FLH | 1 | 12.11 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 24.22 |
|  | Total |  | 17 | 209.52 |  |  |  | 8151.41 |

Before balancing, It has been observed that different process have different capacity in production line. It has been also found that the bottleneck points in identified operation. For that It has been observed more idle time produced. Generally It decreased the production and line efficiency.

Here,

Sum Of Total Idle Time $=8151.41 \mathrm{Sec}$

$$
=2.3 \text { Hour }
$$

Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =209.52 / 17 * 34.57 \\
& =35.7 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{*} 100 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =88 / 250 * 100 \% \\
& =35 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## After Balancing:

Table 3.2 After Balancing

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SL } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Process <br> Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M/C } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Work station | Average Time | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Capacity } \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idle } \\ & \text { Pcs } \end{aligned}$ | Idle Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1^{\text {st }}$ shoulder join | OL | 1 | 10.2 | 300 | 250 | 50 | 510 |
| 2 | Neck joint | OL | 1 | 11.9 | 257 | 250 | 7 | 83.3 |
| 3 | Back tape attach | FLFS | 1 | 11.8 | 259 | 250 | 9 | 106.2 |
| 4 | Back tape excess cut \& Shoulder thread cut | Helper | 1 | 10.75 | 334 | 250 | 84 | 903 |
| 5 | Back tape close with label | SNLS | 1 | 12.05 | 253 | 250 | 3 | 36.15 |
| 6 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ side shoulder joint | OL | 1 | 11.75 | 260 | 250 | 10 | 117.5 |
| 7 | Neck tack \& 1/4 tack | SNLS | 1 | 11.9 | 257 | 250 | 7 | 83.3 |
| 8 | Sleeve hem | FLCB | 1 | 12.1 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 24.2 |
| 9 | Care label make | SNLS | 1 | 12 | 255 | 250 | 5 | 60 |
| 10 | Sleeve joint | OL | 3 | 34.57 | 264 | 250 | 14 | 484 |


| 11 | Side seam with <br> care label | OL | 2 | 24.2 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 48.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Sleeve hem <br>  <br> Side seam <br> thread cut | Helper | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 5}$ |
| 13 | Sleeve tack \& 1/4 <br> tack | SNLS | 1 | 12.07 | 253 | 250 | 3 | 36.21 |
| 14 | Body fold before <br> bottom hem | Helper | 1 | 11.37 | 269 | 250 | 19 | 216.03 |
| 15 | Bottom hem | FLH | 1 | 12.11 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 24.22 |
|  | Total |  | 18 | 302.91 |  |  |  | 3617.51 |

After balancing, It has been observed that In production line, every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Here It has been found that the idle time and bottleneck points were reduced by the increasing number of machine, manpower and sharing the process among the same machine.

## Reduce Helper:

Table 3.3 Reduce Helper

| SL <br> No. | Process <br> Name | M/C <br> Type | Work <br> station | Average <br> Time | Capacity <br> $85 \%$ | Line <br> Target | Idle <br> Pcs | Idle Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Shoulder thread <br> cut \& Back tape <br> excess cut | Helper | 1 | 10.75 | 334 | 250 | 84 | 903 |


| 2 | Sleeve hem <br> thread cut \& Side <br> seam thread cut | Helper | 1 | 10.8 | 333 | 250 | 82 | 885 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Before balancing, It has been observed that the identifying four helpers were more idle in production line. For that there were two helpers reduced to achieve the line target. For that there were minimized idle time and increased line efficiency.

Here,

Sum of total idle time $=3617.51 \mathrm{Sec}$

$$
=1 \text { Hour }
$$

Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =302.91 / 18 * 34.57 \\
& =49 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{*} 100 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =252 / 250 * 100 \% \\
& =100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 Experiment: 02

## Item: LEGGINGS

Buyer: SAINSBURYS


Figure 3.3 Experiment: 02


Figure 3.4 Experiment: 02

According to the factory data, It has been observed that The Standard SMV Of The LEGGINGS $=3.49$

Here,
BPT $=$ SMV/ Total No. Of Process
$=3.49 / 15$
$=0.23 \mathrm{Min}$.

So, Line Production Target $=60 / \mathrm{BPT}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =60 / 0.23 \\
& =261
\end{aligned}
$$

## Before Balancing:

Table 3.4 Before Balancing

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SL } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Process <br> Name | M/C <br> Type | Work station | Average <br> Time | Capacity 85\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idle } \\ & \text { Pcs } \end{aligned}$ | Idle <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Back \& front rise | OL | 1 | 21.2 | 144 | 261 | -117 | -2480.4 |
| 2 | Trim back \& front rise | Helper | 1 | 11.15 | 274 | 261 | 13 | 145 |
| 3 | Leg hem | FLCB | 1 | 20.2 | 151 | 261 | -110 | -2222 |
| 4 | Leg hem raw edge cut | Helper | 1 | 19.3 | 158 | 261 | -103 | -1988 |
| 5 | Elastic measure \& cut | Helper | 1 | 10.75 | 284 | 261 | 23 | 247.25 |
| 6 | Elastic tack | SNLS | 1 | 8.6 | 355 | 261 | 94 | 808.4 |
| 7 | Elastic joint with waist belt | OL | 1 | 20.4 | 150 | 261 | -111 | -2264.4 |
| 8 | Mark for label attach | Helper | 1 | 8.4 | 364 | 261 | 103 | 865.2 |
| 9 | Care \& main label attach | SNLS | 1 | 20.6 | 148 | 261 | -113 | -2327.8 |
| 10 | Waist belt top stitch | FLCB | 1 | 18.4 | 166 | 261 | -95 | -1748 |
| 11 | Body fold sticker | Helper | 1 | 11.3 | 270 | 261 | 9 | 101.7 |
| 12 | Inseam | OL | 1 | 23.2 | 131 | 261 | -130 | -3016 |
| 13 | Inseam tack | BT | 1 | 8.8 | 347 | 261 | 86 | 756.8 |


| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Leg tack \& leg $1 / 4$ <br> tack | SNLS | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 1 1 8 . 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Finishing thread cut | Helper | 1 | 11.5 | 266 | 261 | 5 | 57.5 |
|  | Total |  | 15 | 233.6 |  |  |  |  |

Before balancing, It has been observed that different process have different capacity in production line. It has been also found that the bottleneck points in identified operation. For that It has been observed more idle time produced. Generally It decreased the production and line efficiency.

Here,
Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =233.6 / 15 * 23.2 \\
& =67 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{* 100 \%}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =131 / 261 * 100 \% \\
& =50 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## After Balancing:

Table 3.5 After Balancing

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SL } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Process <br> Name | M/C <br> Type | Work station | Average <br> Time | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Capacity } \\ 85 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idle } \\ & \text { Pcs } \end{aligned}$ | Idle <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Back \& front rise | OL | 2 | 21.2 | 288 | 261 | 27 | 572.4 |
| 2 | Trim back \& front rise | Helper | 1 | 11.15 | 274 | 261 | 13 | 145 |
| 3 | Leg hem | FLCB | 2 | 20.2 | 302 | 261 | 41 | 828.2 |
| 4 | Leg hem raw edge cut | Helper | 2 | 19.3 | 316 | 261 | 55 | 1061.5 |
| 5 | Elastic measure \& cut | Helper | 1 | 10.75 | 284 | 261 | 23 | 247.25 |
| 6 | Elastic tack | SNLS | 1 | 8.6 | 355 | 261 | 94 | 808.4 |
| 7 | Elastic joint with waist belt | OL | 2 | 20.4 | 300 | 261 | 39 | 795.6 |
| 8 | Mark for label attach | Helper | 1 | 8.4 | 364 | 261 | 103 | 865.2 |
| 9 | Care \& main label attach | SNLS | 2 | 20.6 | 296 | 261 | 35 | 721 |
| 10 | Waist belt top stitch | FLCB | 2 | 18.4 | 332 | 261 | 71 | 1306.4 |
| 11 | Body fold sticker | Helper | 1 | 11.3 | 270 | 261 | 9 | 101.7 |
| 12 | Inseam | OL | 2 | 23.2 | 262 | 261 | 1 | 23.2 |
| 13 | Inseam tack | BT | 1 | 8.8 | 347 | 261 | 86 | 756.8 |
| 14 | Leg tack \& leg 1/4 tack | SNLS | 2 | 19.8 | 308 | 261 | 47 | 930.6 |


| 15 | Finishing thread cut | Helper | 1 | 11.5 | 266 | 261 | 5 | 57.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 23 | 396.7 |  |  |  | 9220.75 |

After balancing, It has been observed that In production line, every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Here It has been found that the idle time and bottleneck points were reduced by the increasing number of machine, manpower and sharing the process among the same machine.

Here,

Sum of total idle time $=9220.75 \mathrm{Sec}$

$$
=2.5 \text { Hour }
$$

Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =396.7 / 23 * 23.2 \\
& =74 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{*} 100 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =262 / 261 * 100 \% \\
& =100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 Experiment: 03

## Item: SWEAT SHORTS

Buyer: VARNER


Figure 3.5 Experiment: 03


Figure 3.6 Experiment: 03


Figure 3.7 Experiment: 03

According to the factory data, It has been observed that

The Standard SMV Of The SWEAT SHORTS = 10.77

Here,
BPT $=$ SMV/ Total No. Of Process
$=10.77 / 37$
$=0.29 \mathrm{Min}$.

So, Line Production Target $=60 /$ BPT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =60 / 0.29 \\
& =207
\end{aligned}
$$

## Before Balancing:

Table 3.6 Before Balancing

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SL } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Process <br> Name | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M/C } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Work station | Average <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Capacity } \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idle } \\ & \text { Pcs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idle } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Back \& front rise | OL | 1 | 25.8 | 118 | 207 | -89 | -2296.2 |
| 2 | Trim back \& front rise | Helper | 1 | 11.13 | 274 | 207 | 67 | 745.71 |
| 3 | Back \& front rise t/s | FLCB | 1 | 24.75 | 123 | 207 | -83 | -2063.2 |
| 4 | Pocket cut | Helper | 1 | 8.2 | 373 | 207 | 166 | 1361.2 |
| 5 | Pocket mark | Helper | 1 | 6.25 | 489 | 207 | 282 | 1762.5 |
| 6 | Pocketing attach | SNLS | 1 | 40.9 | 74 | 207 | -133 | -5439.7 |
| 7 | Pocketing t/s | SNLS | 1 | 29.4 | 104 | 207 | -103 | -3028.2 |
| 8 | Pocket tack with body | SNLS | 1 | 25.2 | 121 | 207 | -86 | -2167.2 |
| 9 | Pocket beg close | OL | 1 | 25.71 | 119 | 207 | -88 | -2262.4 |
| 10 | Pocket side tack \& waist side attach | SNLS | 1 | 13.9 | 220 | 207 | 13 | 180.7 |
| 11 | Pocket bar tack | BT | 1 | 14.2 | 215 | 207 | 8 | 113.6 |
| 12 | Inseam | OL | 1 | 27.9 | 109 | 207 | -97 | -2706.3 |
| 13 | Trim inseam | Helper | 1 | 7.6 | 402 | 207 | 195 | 1482 |
| 14 | Inseam tack | BT | 1 | 10.8 | 283 | 207 | 76 | 820.8 |
| 15 | Leg servicing | OL | 1 | 28.2 | 108 | 207 | -99 | -2791.8 |
| 16 | Leg hem | FLCB | 1 | 29.2 | 105 | 207 | -102 | -2978.4 |
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| 17 | Body fold and thread cut | Helper | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Side vent mark | Helper | 1 | 13.45 | 227 | 207 | 20 | 269 |
| 19 | Side seam with vent | OL | 1 | 57.8 | 52 | 207 | -155 | -8959 |
| 20 | Trim side seam | Helper | 1 | 7 | 437 | 207 | 230 | 1610 |
| 21 | Care label make \& attach | SNLS | 1 | 14.5 | 211 | 207 | 4 | 58 |
| 22 | Side vent tack | SNLS | 1 | 28.4 | 107 | 207 | -100 | -2840 |
| 23 | Side vent t/s | SNLS | 1 | 58.6 | 52 | 207 | -155 | -9083 |
| 24 | Side vent bar tack | BT | 1 | 11.2 | 273 | 207 | 66 | 739.2 |
| 25 | Hole mark | Helper | 1 | 7.25 | 422 | 207 | 215 | 1558.75 |
| 26 | Waist side hole | B/H | 1 | 29.4 | 104 | 207 | -103 | -3028.2 |
| 27 | Elastic measure \& cut | Helper | 1 | 13.75 | 222 | 207 | 15 | 206.25 |
| 28 | Elastic tack | SNLS | 1 | 11.85 | 258 | 207 | 51 | 604.35 |
| 29 | Elastic joint with waist side | OL | 1 | 29.4 | 104 | 207 | -103 | -3028.2 |
| 30 | Elastic false tack with waist belt | SNLS | 1 | 28.6 | 106 | 207 | -101 | -2888.6 |
| 31 | Waist belt t/s | KA | 1 | 29.2 | 104 | 207 | -103 | -3007.6 |
| 32 | Drowestring measure, cut \& mark | Helper | 1 | 14.2 | 215 | 207 | 8 | 113.6 |
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| 33 | Drawcord knot <br> make \& insert | Helper | 1 | 26.2 | 116 | 207 | -91 | -2384.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Mark for label <br> attach | Helper | $\mathbf{1}$ | 7.37 | 415 | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 3}$ |
| 35 | Main label attach | SNLS | 1 | 14.7 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 14.7 |
| 36 | Drowestring waist <br> back side sequrity <br> tack | SNLS | 1 | 12.8 | 239 | 207 | 32 | 409.6 |
| 37 |  <br> finishing thread cut | Helper | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |
|  | Total |  | 37 | 774.01 |  |  |  | 13509.86 |

Before balancing, It has been observed that different process have different capacity in production line. It has been also found that the bottleneck points in identified operation. For that It has been observed more idle time produced. Generally It decreased the production and line efficiency.

Here,
Sum of total idle time $=13509.86 \mathrm{Sec}$

$$
=3.75 \text { Hour }
$$

Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =774.01 / 37 * 58.6 \\
& =35 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{*} 100 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =52 / 207 * 100 \% \\
& =25 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## After Balancing:

Table 3.7 After Balancing

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SL } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Process <br> Name | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M/C } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Work station | Average Time | Capacity $85 \%$ | Line <br> Target | Idle <br> Pcs | Idle Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Back \& front rise | OL | 2 | 25.8 | 236 | 207 | 29 | 748.2 |
| 2 | Trim back \& front rise | Helper | 1 | 11.13 | 274 | 207 | 67 | 745.71 |
| 3 | Back \& front rise t/s | FLCB | 2 | 24.75 | 246 | 207 | 39 | 965.25 |
| 4 | Pocket cut $\quad \&$ Pocket mark | Helper | 1 | 14.45 | 211 | 207 | 4 | 57.8 |
| 5 | Pocketing attach | SNLS | 3 | 40.9 | 222 | 207 | 15 | 613.5 |
| 6 | Pocketing t/s | SNLS | 2 | 29.4 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 29.4 |
| 7 | Pocket tack with body | SNLS | 2 | 25.2 | 242 | 207 | 35 | 882 |
| 8 | Pocket beg close | OL | 2 | 25.71 | 238 | 207 | 31 | 797.01 |
| 9 | Pocket side tack \& waist side attach | SNLS | 1 | 13.9 | 220 | 207 | 13 | 180.7 |
| 10 | Pocket bar tack | BT | 1 | 14.2 | 215 | 207 | 8 | 113.6 |
| 11 | Inseam | OL | 2 | 27.9 | 218 | 207 | 11 | 306 |
| 12 | Inseam tack | BT | 1 | 10.8 | 283 | 207 | 76 | 820.8 |
| 13 | Leg servicing | OL | 2 | 28.2 | 216 | 207 | 9 | 253.8 |
| 14 | Leg hem | FLCB | 2 | 29.2 | 210 | 207 | 3 | 87.6 |
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| 15 | Body fold and thread cut | Helper | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Side vent mark | Helper | 1 | 13.45 | 227 | 207 | 20 | 269 |
| 17 | Side seam with vent | OL | 4 | 57.8 | 211 | 207 | 4 | 231.2 |
| 18 | Trim inseam \& Trim side seam | Helper | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |
| 19 | Care label make \& attach | SNLS | 1 | 14.5 | 211 | 207 | 4 | 58 |
| 20 | Side vent tack | SNLS | 2 | 28.4 | 214 | 207 | 7 | 198.8 |
| 21 | Side vent t/s | SNLS | 4 | 58.6 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 58.6 |
| 22 | Side vent bar tack | BT | 1 | 11.2 | 273 | 207 | 66 | 739.2 |
| 23 | Hole mark \& Mark for label attach | Helper | 1 | 14.62 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.24 |
| 24 | Waist side hole | B/H | 2 | 29.4 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 29.4 |
| 25 | Elastic measure \& cut | Helper | 1 | 13.75 | 222 | 207 | 15 | 206.25 |
| 26 | Elastic tack | SNLS | 1 | 11.85 | 258 | 207 | 51 | 604.35 |
| 27 | Elastic joint with waist side | OL | 2 | 29.4 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 29.4 |
| 28 | Elastic false tack with waist belt | SNLS | 2 | 28.6 | 212 | 207 | 5 | 143 |
| 29 | Waist belt t/s | KA | 2 | 29.2 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 29.2 |
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| 30 | Drowestring <br> measure, cut \& mark | Helper | 1 | 14.2 | 215 | 207 | 8 | 113.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | Drawcord knot <br> make \& insert | Helper | 2 | 26.2 | 232 | 207 | 25 | 655 |
| 32 | Main label attach | SNLS | 1 | 14.7 | 208 | 207 | 1 | 14.7 |
| 33 | Drowestring waist <br> back side sequrity <br> tack | SNLS | 1 | 12.8 | 239 | 207 | 32 | 409.6 |
| 34 | Remove sticker \& Helper <br> finishing thread cut | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |  |
|  | Total |  | 56 | 1592.37 |  |  |  | 9285.41 |

After balancing, It has been observed that In production line, every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Here It has been found that the idle time and bottleneck points were reduced by the increasing number of machine, manpower and sharing the process among the same machine.

## Reduce Helper:

Table 3.8 Reduce Helper

| SL <br> No. | Process <br> Name | M/C <br> Type | Work <br> station | Average <br> Time | Capacity <br> $85 \%$ | Line <br> Target | Idle <br> Pcs | Idle Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  <br> Pocket mark | Helper | 1 | 14.45 | 211 | 207 | 4 | 57.8 |
| 2 |  <br> Trim side seam | Helper | 1 | 14.6 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.2 |
| 3 |  <br> Mark for label <br> attach |  |  | 14.62 | 209 | 207 | 2 | 29.24 |

Before balancing, It has been observed that the identifying six helpers were more idle in production line. For that there were three helpers reduced to achieve the line target. For that there were minimized idle time and increased line efficiency.

Here,
Sum of total idle time $=9285.41 \mathrm{Sec}$

$$
=2.5 \text { Hour }
$$

Line Efficiency = Sum Of Task Time / Work Station * Max. Working Time * 100\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1592.37 / 56 * 58.6 \\
& =49 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Balancing Rate $=(\text { Minimum Output } / \text { Target Output })^{* 100 \%}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =208 / 207 * 100 \% \\
& =100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

### 4.1 Experiment 1: Capacity Graph



Figure 4.1 Capacity Before Balancing


It has been observed that every process have different capacity before balancing. Because it has been used 1 operator in every single process and maximum operator did not fill up their target output. For that bottleneck points were produced and Line efficiency and Balancing rate were decreased. In the table, It has been found that 4 helpers were more idle in production line. Their output production was much higher than the target production. For that more idle time were produced. Before balancing In this graph, It has been found that the Idle Time was 2.3 Hour where as the Line Efficiency $35.7 \%$ and Balancing Rate 35\%.


Figure 4.2 Capacity After Balancing


After balancing, It has been observed that every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Because it has been used required number of operator in every single process. For that every operator were filled up their target output and reduced bottleneck point. For that Line Efficiency and Balancing Rate were increased. After balancing, It has been observed that there were 2 helpers were reduced to minimize the idle time. Because 2 operators have been able to do same work that 4 operators did. In this graph, After balancing It has been found that the Idle Time was 1 Hour where as the Line Efficiency 49\% and Balancing Rate 100\%.

### 4.2 Experiment 2: Capacity Graph



Figure 4.3 Capacity Before Balancing

It has been observed that every process have different capacity before balancing. Because it has been used 1 operator in every single process and maximum operator did not fill up their target output. For that bottleneck points were produced and Line efficiency and Balancing rate were decreased. For that It has been observed more idle time produced. In this graph, It has been found that the Line Efficiency $67 \%$ and Balancing Rate $50 \%$.


Figure 4.4 Capacity After Balancing


After balancing, It has been observed that every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Because it has been used required number of machine and operator in every single process. For that every operator were filled up their target output and reduced bottleneck point. For that Line Efficiency and Balancing Rate were increased. Here Idle time were reduced by increasing number of machine and manpower. In this graph, It has been found that the Idle Time was 2.5 Hour where as the Line Efficiency 74\% and Balancing Rate 100\%.

### 4.3 Experiment 3: Capacity Graph



Figure 4.5 Capacity Before Balancing


Before balancing It has been observed that every process have different capacity. Because it has been used number of 1 machine and helper in every single process and maximum operator did not fill up their target output. For that bottleneck points were produced and Line efficiency and Balancing rate were decreased. In the table, It has been found that 6 helpers were more idle in production line. Their output production was much higher than the target production. For that more idle time were produced. Before balancing In this graph, It has been found that the Idle Time was 3.75 Hour where as the Line Efficiency $35 \%$ and Balancing Rate $25 \%$.


Figure 4.6 Capacity After Balancing


After balancing, It has been observed that every process have the capacity were nearly closed. Because it has been used required number of operator in every single process. For that every operator were filled up their target output and reduced bottleneck point. For that Line Efficiency and Balancing Rate were increased. After balancing, It has been observed that there were 3helpers were reduced to minimize the idle time. Because 3 operators have been able to do same work that 6 operators did. After balancing In this graph, It has been found that the Idle Time was 2.5 Hour where as the Line Efficiency 49\% and Balancing Rate 100\%.

# CHAPTER 5:PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES, HEALTH, SAFETY, SOCIO-CULTURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION. 

5.1 Codes And Standards Used

One of Bangladesh's well-known textile companies is Echotex Ltd. The majority of Echotex customers are well-known individuals who care about the environment. As a result, maintaining all international standards of conduct and abiding by BSCI and CSR rules and regulations is a requirement for their order. Zero tolerance for underage labor, in order to prevent unique issues. Maintain ISO 9001 as well which outlines the global norm for quality management. The ETP process needs to be maintained. Thus that the amount of water needed from sample manufacturing to bulk production cannot have a negative impact on the environment.

### 5.2 Ethical Principles and Professional Commitment

The core element and commitment is to conduct ourselves properly while preserving the environment's health for future generations. Consider creating sustainable products. Making clothing with organic cotton yarn is a common practice. Using high-quality dye chemicals that are safe for the health and the environment. Avoid harassment and abuse while working. After the primary task, you're not required to work part-time for a while. Discrimination against women and men must end.

Preferably once per month, a fire drill. And to make sure that everyone leaves the factory in only six minutes.

### 5.3 Impact on Society, Health, Safety, Legal and Cultural Issues

The upkeep of international organizations' codes of behavior benefits society. For instance, all of the worker benefits are guaranteed when the BSCI standards are followed. After a specified obligation, no further workers may be assigned to overtime. The standards of BSCI include timely payment of salaries, abstaining from using child labor, and rigorously monitoring any instances of harassment or abuse of female employees. Even now, maintaining BSCI standards is a necessity for the majority of customers. By doing this, employers ensure that employees receive their just benefits, benefiting society as a whole. Another international organization's norms and regulations apply to CSR operations. All societal issues are guaranteed here. For instance, make sure that female employees receive benefits like maternity leave. Create a mosque or a school next to where there are businesses. It consequently has a favorable effect on society. Social audits are used to keep track of safety concerns in various businesses. Because of this, industries are always ready to put out a fire. This includes installing fire alarms all around the place, creating a few emergency exits, and always keeping a few employees in the facility to put out a fire. The social audit also looks at the workplace's properness and whether or not the employees have access to a standard restroom. Here, both the working environment and the workers' safety are taken into consideration.

### 5.4 Impact on Environment

The term "ETP" is now widely used in the textile industry. We are aware that making clothes uses a lot of water. Also, the ecology is seriously harmed if these waters are discharged in the same state in which they were utilised. This is eliminated with the introduction of ETP Process. The environment is not harmed as a result of the treatment and release of the water into the environment. The ecology is benefiting greatly from this ETP procedure. Yet, the industry is making an effort to create sustainable products. To make it functional, dry wash is being used. the use of organic yarn. The ecosystem is benefiting greatly from all of these efforts.

## CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION

The most crucial stage in the manufacturing of clothing is line balancing. Following analyzing, it was discovered that line balancing approach, as well as reduced idle time, increasing efficiency and balancing rate. The resulting value of this research-
a) Minimized of Idle time by reducing the number of manpower, helper.
b) Fulfilled the target output and balancing rate $100 \%$.
c) Line efficiency was increased by increasing the number of machine and manpower to get required output.

Remarks: After balancing, It has been observe that there were a small amount of idle time remains which time should be used in others machine and helping process. For that this idle time should be strongly minimized.
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