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#### Abstract

The model of the recommendation is another well documented standard for new global judgments. Such a well- known outcome- oriented concept has already become part of our lives, thanks to the continued growth of the online trading system. Depending now on this impetus, indeed the composite filter project " Super Shop Recommendation" intends to build a successful product recommendation structure now for the next generation of Super Shop recommendation systems. Studies have indeed been conducted where users find data on the theoretically proposed product. Recommendation systems are usually one of the most appropriate products for end customers. However, this report examines the prospect of shopping in Bangladesh. The analysis provides opportunities and tendencies for Bangladesh users. The justifications are also analyzed. Internet Shopping is a popular shopping race. The recommendation system is one of the most suitable alternative techniques to generate wealth and try to keep the purchaser, customer. This piece of research offers a fast and natural product recommendation system which allows clients to find the right product in the first place. Indeed, the product recommendation structure must suggest the buyer's goods. The succinct analysis of the whole overall architecture. Then We really used a collaborative filtering method based on the correlation coefficient. Even further adjustments will also be added to the strategic business Intelligence.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

The name of our proposal calls for "Personalized Recommender System for Supershop". The title name only suggested that a recommendation system reason was identified. Each client can effectively share his observations and make a specific decision based on the client's interest. This has revealed indispensable for a proposal or merchant market to use this information successfully by developing a new method of presentation with regard to this information. The system includes mathematical evaluations of similar things between the dynamic client and the various clients of the system to evaluate the comparability of results between customer support profiles and to make suggestions for written information to the dynamic client. Indeed, the aim of such an assessment is to acknowledge the proposed new engine and differentiate further the weak points of the customary propeller suggestion and create an electronic application proposal. This system uses common differences to create valuable and persuasive proposals. Indeed, the aim of such an assessment is to acknowledge the proposed new engine and differentiate further the weak points of the customary propeller suggestion and create an electronic application proposal. This system uses common differences to create valuable and persuasive proposals.

### 1.2 Motivation

In this Project Personalized Recommender System It proposes a product with a solution structure that was one of the most basic systems for expanding and maintaining better administrations. The structure should offer products that benefit customers and users. This system uses highlights sifted at community level to provide competent and workable suggestions. Cooperative Recommendation Systems The overall rating of items identifies common characteristics between customers based on their ratings and creates new suggestions. [1].

## Primary Goals

- Provides a wide range of decisions
- Try to give yourself exactly what you need
- This system saves valuable user and client time.
- The model displays a measurement level with jointly analyzed products.
- It is extremely productive to use.
- Review users and user information to suggest new products.
- And we don't want the user to get bored recommending the wrong product.


### 1.3 Rationale of the Study

It has been used as a model to recommending things that a customer would probably be interested in by recognizing customer inclination. The most popular applications or premises that use proposal systems are motion pictures, music, news, shopping for food, travel guides, web - based dating, books, food, e - business destinations, etc. Suggestion systems can be classified comprehensively as substance-based sifting, communitarian separation and halfway. Substance-based separating frameworks are used to suggest things related to the representation of things the customer used to like previously or related to precharacterized customer characteristics, such a framework having its underlying foundations in data recovery systems. Community separating frameworks prescribe things to the customer in view of the past inclinations of things assessed by all customers. Mixture strategies join these methods. I will mostly negotiate with Community - oriented separation in this paper.

### 1.4 Research Question

This research wills asset us to know what factors make user feel more reliable in product selection. The following questions will help to get the idea of how we will be able to find the factors.

- Is there any technique we could follow to make promotional messages/emails more useful to people?
- How if instead of rolling eyes at them, people start to welcome them as they appear regarding personal interests and preferences?
- Is focus marketing strategy more effective with local grocery stores?


### 1.5 Expected Outcome

- like the system try to get what you need, supershop Human assessments.
- Different value categories with the Large number of product selection options.
- Save time and cost for the product recommended.
- The user finds useful clear product information.
- User don't feel bored.
- Improved application prosecution.
- It will increase sales.


### 1.6 Report Layout

## Chapter 1: Introduction

In here, the motivation, rationale of the study, research question and expected outcome of this research - based project are discussed. The layout of the report was followed later.

## Chapter 2: Background

This section discusses the background of the project. I am also writing about both the related research for research purposes, the assessment of the research and the scope of the problem and the hardships of this research- based scheme.

## Chapter 3: Research Methodology

And in this chapter I will deal with project research methodology, the research topic, the data collection process, the statistical analysis and the requirements for the implementation of this research- based scheme.

## Chapter 4: Experimental Result and Discussion

In this one, I have discussed experimental results, descriptive analysis and summary of this research project in this chapter.

## Chapter 5: Summary, Conclusion, Recommendation and Implementation for future Research

Here I have discussed the hypothesis and the effectiveness of further developments.

## CHAPTER 2

## BACKGROUND

### 2.1 Introduction

In this system we have offered users have registration option and through registration we collect user phone number, age and product purchase history and with this information such as product purses history we easily found what she is interested in and beyond accuracy we also use age grouping to get more accurate data for the closely related product to another product. so that the user can find out the best product what he/she interest in bye. so that the user can find out the best product what he / she is interested in buy and The following figure 2.1 shows recommendation system of our research-based project.


Figure 2.1: Analysis the background model of Recommender system.

### 2.2 Related Works

In Recommendation processes in various models have tried to provide the customers with such an accurate proposal to address customer issues and deliver greater value to organizations. Community based discrimination in the proposal structures is a feasible and acknowledged development. Many sites, in particular e- business sites, have used community separation innovations in their proposal systems to adapt the background for each customer so that it is considered fruitful to take cases from a synergistic perspective, Netflix by 60 percent, amazon expanded its offerings by 29 percent and google news navigation by 30.9 percent. Socially responsible views can be categorized as user- based local community separation (memory- based) and article- based common views (modelbased). Thus the customer- focused collective political separation approach aims to further foresee things for the authoritative client that are now passionate about different employees as well as the objective customer. After in the first place, the equation tries to reveal the customer's and user's allies especially considering customer likenesses after that diversifies but the neighbor customer's and user's examining score by deploying directed learning like k- closest neighbor's measurement and Probabilistic system or unmonitored teaching like a k-means. Goal- oriented cooperative approach is to strangely anticipate things that have similarities between the things and the different things that are already associated with the customer. Instead of the closest neighbors, an arrangement of things is investigated, the objective client has just evaluated things and this calculation records how comparative things are to the objective thing under the proposal. From this point of view, it also corresponds to the inclinations of the customer and the user in view of these similarities [5].

### 2.3 Research summary

The process of filtering information, which is the information of the user, is known about the recommendation system. It generates a prediction of the interest of the target user as the most important step in collaborative filtering [7]. Which he or she might be interested in this topic or product type. The majority of the system is classified into two types first one is UBCF also called User-Based Collaborative filtering and second one is IBCF and
also called Item - based collaborative filtering. Both systems play a vital role in recommendations technology types. UBCF is a social network of users who share the same rating patterns. The most similar user is then selected and the user is advised on an item rated by the most similar user IBCF is a link between different things that will then make use of the dynamic client information and the connection between things that the dynamic client expects. But we are now working on a user - based collaborative system that follows specific user information [2].

### 2.4 The scope of the problems:

- Collecting huge data.
- The problem of converting data with format.
- After collecting manage the data.
- Classification of the training and testing data set.
- In the same algorithm, different attribute type does not work. As with the nominal and numerical attribute.
- We have problems with instrumental settings. But we're getting it right.


### 2.5 Challenges

- Assemblage Data Information: Assemblage data information is an essential part of any study type. Misleading data mining can hamper results of the study and likely lead to incorrect results. Gathering data techniques really can be divided into two categories, the first being a primary and the second a secondary method.
- Data Noise Removing: The way to recognize and correct data preparation or data preparation Then replace, change or delete the dirty or race data.
- Datasheet Convert for WEKA: Convert data sheet for WEKA extension, the data sheet must be transferred from Excel to CSV format. Then convert the file into " arff" to WEKA.
- Finding proper Algorithm: Algorithm appointment is the principal driver of WEKA. If you cannot choose the right and responsib algorithm. You can't find the best prediction.
- Data Set Modeling: data collection completely transformed the logical data model into a physical data model that organised the data in tables and figures for our scientific purposes. Information mapping is their systems and their close relationships.
- Inspect The Data: Inspect The Data validation suggests that we still have attributes that really need to be untangled so that they are correct and worthwhile with our application or system. Data subjectivity means an automatic verification to ensure that the entered data are confidential and appropriate.


## CHAPTER 3

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Introduction

This section on research methodology describes the specific procedures or techniques for identifying, selecting, processing and analyzing information about the recommendation system. Here the user can critically evaluate the general validity and reliability of a study. This chapter will also cover the object of research and instrumentation, data collection procedures, statistical analysis and implementation requirements. Google form and expression question was used to collect primary data for this research.

### 3.2 Research Subject

The user- based method to collaborative filtering is to provide the location user with things that keep working for different people following the target user. For example, as observed, users 1 and 3 basically have the same inclination behavior. If User 1 loves Item A, User based collaborative filtering model may prescribe Item A to User 3. User based collaborative filtering model needs the express appraisal of customer-appraised items to identify similitude among users and endeavors to find the closest neighbors in the light of user similarities. And then it produces expectations as far the assessment of the neighbor's assessments in terms of average- weighted comparability [7].
The following figure 3.1: User based collaborative filtering model.


Figure 3.1: User based collaborative filtering model.
basic scenario, the preparation of collaborative filtering can mostly be isolated in three phases.

- User rating matrix data collection,
- Choosing similar neighbors by measuring similarity and ratings
- Generating prediction as the diagram seen.

Collaborative filtering approaches use factual systems to break the resemblance between customers and frame an arrangement of users called neighbors. An arrangement of closeness measures is important between two vectors. User- based similarity is to process the relevance of two vectors between users. In User based collaborative filtering, after calculating the similarity, the current target client's neighborhoods are used. When Collaborative Filtering processes the comparability between customers in User based collaborative filtering model or things in Item-based collaborative filtering and finds the arrangement of most comparable or comparable things, the objective user's enthusiasm is expected to be the most significant step in Collaborative Filtering. [5].

## Calculation of User Based Collaborative Filtering

Since User Based Collaborative Filtering receives the user's zone, it can set the find user rating for the choose element I.It shall be scaled as follows in accordance with the weighted standards of each given object.

$$
P_{u, i}=A_{u}+\frac{\sum_{w=1}^{n}\left(R_{w, i}-A_{w}\right) \times \operatorname{sim}(u, w)}{\sum_{w=1}^{n} \operatorname{sim}(u, w)}
$$

A_u is the regular rating of the factual user $u$ for all other things and $\mathrm{A} w$ is the normal rating of the neighbor. W for everything else. $R \_(w, I)$ is the assessment of the user of the objective thing $\operatorname{I}$. $\operatorname{Sim}(u, w)$ is a factual user and neighboring user w. total number of neighbors is N . [5].

## Collaborative Filtering Restrictions

The input data grid for user input may have some rating values for the total number of things available, although users are exceptionally dynamic. Given the fact that users tend not to be rated successfully, finding similarity in the commonly rated things can be a test. Collective Filtering predicts things in view of user's past inclination conduct. That is, new customers could not have recommended things unless new users rate many things. Similarly, new things could be considered for the proposal as a sufficient number of users have fewer evaluation ratings. [7].

### 3.3 Data Collection Procedure

A significant role is played in any project and research - based project or thesis data collection. Data is very important part in this recommendation. Many projects and research- based projects or dissertations fail due to insufficient information.

## Information recourse:

Our research project name " Personalized Recommend System for Supershop." First we try to get the line data like a full transaction history from SuperShop, but no Super Shop owner has this not a friend, but only the purchase history and further data collection that we collect from an online SuperShop data attribute such as product list, product category, etc. And then we collect data attributes such as age, gender. And we finally find out our data source after the final simulation of some code-works with JavaScript-operation.

- Product Purchase History
- Product Price and Product Category
- Age Grouping with Product Category
- People-Data

Figure 3.2 below shows the data set of product purchase history

| 1 | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | White Letter Envelope,Post it Notes 3"/3",Post it Notes 5 Colors |
| 3 | IKO Sugar Free Oatmeal Crackers 9 Grains,Marks Diabetic Low Fat Milk Powder,Zero Cal Box 75 Sachets,Canderel Sugar |
| 4 | Aarong Dairy Sweetened Yogurt,Aarong Dairy Low Fat Yogurt (Sour),Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour),PRAN Sweet Yogurt |
|  | Feather Paint Brush |
| 6 | PRAN Matha |
| 7 | Super Fresh Drinking Water |
| 8 | Gowala Sweet Curd (Doi),PRAN Sweet Yogurt,PRAN Sour Curd, PRAN Matha |
| 9 | Mum Drinking Water,Super Fresh Drinking Water,Pureit Classic Device Water Purifier (Maroon), Jibon Natural Mineral Water |
| 10 | Angel Bear Toothbrush Holder (Cream) China,HMBR (3mmX10ft) Measurement Tape (USA),Golden Wings Badminton Cork 1 Box |
| 11 | Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour),Aarong Dairy Sweetened Yogurt,PRAN Sour Curd,PRAN Matha |
| 12 | Equal Sweetener 100 Tablets |
| 13 | Aarong Dairy Low Fat Yogurt (Sour) |
| 14 | Stute Diabetic Strawberry Extra Jam,Stute Diabetic Apricot Extra Jam |
| 15 | Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour)., PRAN Sweet Yogurt |
| 16 | Super Fresh Drinking Water,Super Fresh Drinking Water |
| 17 | White Letter Envelope,Post it Notes 3"/3",Post it Notes 5 Colors |
| 18 | Super Fresh Drinking Water,PRAN Drinking Water |
| 19 | Pureit Germ Kill Kit |
| 20 | PRAN Sweet Yogurt,Aarong Dairy Sweetened Yogurt |
| 21 | Jumbo Plane Cricket Tennis Ball,PP Plastic 3 Color Hanger 5 pcs (China),HMBR (3mmX10ft) Measurement Tape (USA),Feather Paint Brush |
| 22 | PRAN Sour Curd, PRAN Sweet Yogurt,PRAN Matha |
| 23 | PRAN Sour Curd |
| 24 | Post it Notes 5 Colors,White Letter Envelope,Post it Notes 3"/3" |
| 25 | Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour),Aarong Dairy Low Fat Yogurt (Sour),PRAN Sweet Yogurt |
| 26 | Super Fresh Drinking Water, Kinle Drinking Water |
| 27 | Stute Diabetic Black Currant Extra Jam |
| 28 | Pureit Germ Kill Kit,lfad Drinking Water,Super Fresh Drinking Water |
| 29 | Marks Diabetic Low Fat Milk Powder,Canderel Sucralose Tablet,Canderel Calorie Sweetener Jar,Stute Fine Cut Diabetic Orange Extra Marmalade,IKO Sugar Free Oatmeal Crackers 9 Grains |
| 30 | PRAN Sweet Yogurt, Gowala Sweet Curd (Doi) |
| 31 | Post it Notes 5 Colors,Post it Notes 3"/3",White Letter Envelope |
| 32 | Mum Drinking Water,Super Fresh Drinking Water |
| 33 | Gowala Sweet Curd (Doi),PRAN Sweet Yogurt,Aarong Dairy Sweetened Yogurt |
| 34 | Gowala Sweet Curd (Doi),Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour),PRAN Matha |
| 35 | Post it Notes 3"/3",Post it Notes 5 Colors,White Letter Envelope |
| 36 | Stute Diabetic Apricot Extra Jam,Zero Cal Box 75 Sachets |
| 37 | PRAN Sour Curd,PRAN Sweet Yogurt |
| 38 | Aarong Dairy Low Fat Yogurt (Sour) |
| 39 | Gowala Sweet Curd (Doi),PRAN Sweet Yogurt,Aarong Dairy Yogurt (Sour) |

Figure 3.2: product purchase history

TABLE 3.1: COLLECTED ITEMS BY USER.

| Attributes | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Items | product purchase history |

Figure 3.3 below shows the product price and product category

|  | A | B | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Product | price | product category |
| 2 | REXONA Powder Dry Female Roll-on Anti Perspirant | 140 | deodorants |
| 3 | Nivea Pearl \& Beauty 48h Roll On | 180 | deodorants |
| 4 | Do It! Deodorant | 260 | deodorants |
| 5 | REXONA Quantum Roll-on Anti Perspirant | 140 | deodorants |
| 6 | REXONA Free Spirit Female Roll-on Deoderant | 140 | deodorants |
| 7 | Enchanteur Body Spray Romantic | 330 | deodorants |
| 8 | Denim Musk Body Spray | 220 | deodorants |
| - | Nivea Fresh Natural Anti Perspirant 48h Roll On | 180 | deodorants |
| 10 | Fa Caribbean Lemon Extra Fresh Roll on | 145 | deodorants |
| 11 | Nivea Men Invisible Roll Deodorant | 175 | deodorants |
| 12 | Denim Desire Body Spray | 220 | deodorants |
| 13 | Engage Woman Body Spray | 290 | deodorants |
| 14 | Wild Stone Hydra Energy Body Spray | 325 | deodorants |
| 15 | AXE Signature Mysterious Body Perfume | 380 | deodorants |
| 16 | REXONA Ice Cool Male Roll-on Anti Perspirent | 140 | deodorants |
| 17 | Yardlex English Rose Deodorant Roll On | 180 | deodorants |
| 18 | Cigar Deodorant Spray | 230 | deodorants |
| 19 | Enchanteur Roll On Romantic | 250 | deodorants |
| 20 | Fa Aqua Aquatic Fresh Body Spray | 245 | deodorants |
| 21 | Brut Original Body Spray | 280 | deodorants |
| 22 | Engage Man Mate Deo Spray | 270 | deodorants |
| 23 | Old Spice Fresh Deo Stick | 300 | deodorants |
| 24 | Lady Speed Stick Dry Deodorant | 280 | deodorants |
| 25 | AXE Recharge Midnight Deoderant | 315 | deodorants |
| 26 | Fogg Fresh Spicy Body Spray | 400 | deodorants |
| 27 | Kool Citrus Deo Body Spray | 220 | deodorants |
| 28 | Adidas Team Force Deo Spray | 270 | deodorants |
| 29 | Set Wet Cool Avatar Deodorant Spray | 250 | deodorants |
| 30 | Nivea Men 48h Cool Kick Deodorent | 260 | deodorants |
| 31 | Wild Stone Red Body Deodorant | 325 | deodorants |
| 32 | She Is Sexy Deodorant Body Spray | 320 | deodorants |
| 33 | Nivea Men Ice Cool Body Deodorizer | 375 | deodorants |
| 34 | REXONA Powder Dry Female Roll-on Anti Perspirant | 80 | deodorants |
| 35 | REXONA V8 Roll-on Anti Perspirant | 140 | deodorants |
| 36 | Denim Musk Roll On Deodorant | 240 | deodorants |
| 37 | Denim Original Roll On Deodorant | 240 | deodorants |
| 38 | AXE Twist Body Spray | 280 | deodorants |
| 39 | Havoc Gold Deodorant Spray | 260 | deodorants |

Figure 3.3: Product Price and Product Category

TABLE 3.2: PRODUCT PRICE AND PRODUCT CATEGORY.

| Attributes | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Product | Product name |
| Price | How much product does cost? |
| Product category | Which product in which group? |

Figure 3.4 below shows the Age Grouping with Product Category

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | category | 15-18 | 19-25 | 26-32 | 33-40 | 41-44 | 45-50 |
| 2 | adult-diapers |  |  |  |  |  | TRUE |
| 3 | air-freshners |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 4 | antiseptics |  |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 5 | baby-accessories |  |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |
| 6 | bakery-snacks |  |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 7 | baking-ingredients |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 8 | baking-mixes |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 9 | bath | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 10 | bath-skincare | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 11 | batteries | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 12 | biscuits | TRUE |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 13 | breads |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 14 | butter-sour-cream |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 15 | cakes | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 16 | candy-chocolate | TRUE |  |  |  |  | TRUE |
| 17 | canned-meat-seafood |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 18 | cereals | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | cheese-2 |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 20 | chips-pretzels | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | cleaning-accessories |  |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |
| 22 | cleaning-supplies |  |  |  | TRUE |  |  |
| 23 | coffee-2 |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 24 | colors-flavours |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 25 | colours |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 26 | cookies |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 27 | cutting-2 |  |  | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 28 | dal-or-lentil |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |
| 29 | deodorants | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |
| 30 | dips-spreads |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 31 | dish-detergents |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 32 | dried-fish |  |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |
| 33 | eggs |  | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |
| 34 | energy-boosters | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | erasers-correction-fluid | TRUE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | facial-care | TRUE | TRUE | TRUE |  |  |  |

Figure 3.4: Age Grouping with Product Category

TABLE 3.3: AGE GROUPING WITH PRODUCT CATEGORY.

| Attributes | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Category | Product group name |
| Age grouping | Age wise characters |

Figure 3.5 Below shows the People-Data

|  | A | B | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | phone | Age | Gender |
| 2 | 1679332412 | 19 | female |
| 3 | 1807026986 | 37 | female |
| 4 | 1807026986 | 37 | female |
| 5 | 1807026986 | 37 | female |
| 6 | 1807026986 | 37 | female |
| 7 | 1708397077 | 17 | male |
| 8 | 1708397077 | 17 | male |
| 9 | 1708397077 | 17 | male |
| 10 | 1708397077 | 17 | male |
| 11 | 1765863891 | 18 | male |
| 12 | 1872516514 | 42 | male |
| 13 | 1907704434 | 43 | female |
| 14 | 1907704434 | 43 | female |
| 15 | 1907704434 | 43 | female |
| 16 | 1907704434 | 43 | female |
| 17 | 1907704434 | 43 | female |
| 18 | 1671566208 | 49 | male |
| 19 | 1671566208 | 49 | male |
| 20 | 1907017973 | 28 | male |
| 21 | 1907017973 | 28 | male |
| 22 | 1777307753 | 40 | female |
| 23 | 1777307753 | 40 | female |
| 24 | 1777307753 | 40 | female |
| 25 | 1777307753 | 40 | female |
| 26 | 1808240232 | 47 | female |
| 27 | 1808240232 | 47 | female |
| 28 | 1808240232 | 47 | female |
| 29 | 1808240232 | 47 | female |
| 30 | 1707065977 | 16 | female |
| 31 | 1707065977 | 16 | female |
| 32 | 1707065977 | 16 | female |
| 33 | 1808490486 | 42 | female |
| 34 | 1608519856 | 30 | female |
| 35 | 1608519856 | 30 | female |
| 36 | 1808470442 | 25 | male |
| 37 | 1808470442 | 25 | male |
| 38 | 1907925422 | 28 | male |
| 39 | 1907759387 | 20 | female |

Figure 3.5: People-Data

TABLE 3.4: PEOPLE-DATA

| Attributes | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phone | user/customer phone number |
| Age | user/customer age |
| Gender | user/customer gender |

## Data Analysis:

## Final data:

In the Check in excel sheet, we need some proper attribute. We find out this type of attributes. This attribute is:

- Name
- PhoneNumber
- Age
- Items
- Gender
- GenderCategory

Figure 3.6 below shows the Pre-Processed attributes.


Figure 3.6: Pre- Process Attributes.
TABLE 3.5: PRE- PROCESS INFORMATION TABLE

| Attributes | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | User name |
| PhoneNumber | User/customer phone number |
| Items | User/customer purchase history |
| Age | User/customer Age |
| Gender | User gender male or female |
| GenderCategory | Gender collaboration with yes ,no |

### 3.4 Statistical Analysis

We perform random training and test data in the ZeroR algorithm. We apply and try to find out the best value on the WEKA. When machining WEKA tools and applying random values Then, we get some different values of training and testing data. Above we show the values of figure figure 3.7. In figure 3.8, training data $74.667 \%$ and testing data $66.66 \%$. It is the best data for our research-based project. At last, we get finale dataset for working our research-based project.

Figure 3.7 \& Figure 3.8 below shows the Percentage of the training and testing data.


Figure 3.7: zeroR Analysis


Figure 3.8: zeroR Analysis

### 3.5 Implementation Requirements

## Hardware Requirements:

- Processor: Intel Core i3 (minimum)
- RAM: 4GB. (minimum)
- Operating System: Linux or Windows
- Browser: Chrome
- Storage: 512GB HDD
- Prediction by: WEKA 3.8.2, HTML, CSS, JavaScript.


## CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Introduction

In this part the introduction and examination of the after- impacts of the directed majestic study will be carried out. The following effects of the overview include examination of the test results, descriptive investigation and outline of this part.

### 4.2 Experimental Results

We collected the " Final" data set in our project. When using WEKA data set and ZeroR algorithm. We also use a different algorithm. This time we find a better result if we use ZeroR than other algorithms. In algorithm training data $74.667 \%$ and test data $66.66 \%$ Accuracy. It results better than the forecast result. and then in figure 4.1 we mentor the user by sending regular messages and massages with personalized products. we sent a joint massage to 100 different users and we received a response of over 25 percent. After personalization, we sent different 100 personalized messages to 100 different users and we have a response of over 47 percent. So we accepted the outcome of our research project.


Figure 4.1: survey by massage.

### 4.3 Descriptive Analysis

We use an algorithm to find the perfect data sheets for algorithm selection. Figure 4.1 below shows the training and test data percentage.
figure 4.2 below shows the Percentage of the training and testing data.


Figure 4.2: J-48 Analysis
In here from figure 4.2, it displays 100 percent training data when working with j-48 algorithms. But it is not possible to select the correct value and not display the tree either.


Figure 4.3: Association Rule (JRip) Analysis

The following figure 4.3 we have used association rule (JRip) mining, we get proper value. But, when we try again that time cannot show the tree.it is the problem for working this research-based project.


Figure 4.4: Association Rule (Apriori) Analysis
We used the a Apriori algorithm for association rule mining, and we found a relationship between the attributes. Using the Apriori algorithm for Weka disregards the relationship between attributes.


Figure 4.5: ZeroR Analysis

The following figure 4.5 we find in training data correctly 74.66 and Incorrectly 25.33 and in testing data we find 66.67 correctly and 33.33 Incorrectly.

## Comparative Analysis:

The following Figure 4.6 we have compared J-48, JRip and ZeroR Algorithms. We found in j-48 analysis correctly 100 and incorrectly 0 and JRip analysis we found correctly 84 and incorrectly 16 and ZeroR Analysis we found correctly 74.66 and incorrectly 25.33 . we can't accept j-48 because of it has 100 correctly found value but in real it not possible and in $j$ Rip after Analysis we find some issue like at first it works perfectly but second time it doesn't work. We finally found the best value in ZeroR analysis. Therefore, the ZeroR algorithm decision tree is the best for our research- based project.


Figure 4.6: Comparative Analysis

### 4.4 Summary

At first, we collected the data first, analyzed the whole data sheet very carefully. All data sheet analysis then we have data sheet two parts, one is data training and the other is data testing. Then we used the forecast for WEKA. We used a lot of algorithms. And with these algorithms we saw many kinds of training and test results that were very clearly compared and that we always needed for our research project.

## CHAPTER 5

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

### 5.1 Summary of the Study

The main objective of the proposal system here is to anticipate consumers benefit. This recommendation system also uses the collaborative model to make more informed suggestions. This product suggestion took into account many criteria such as the substance of the item and the nature of the product by distinguishing the evaluations by alternative buyers. This system of recommendations has no problem with implementation since the proposals were separated. This paper uses customer- based collaborative filtering. Providing ratings and also includes user satisfaction factors.

### 5.2 Conclusions

This document uses collaborative filtering based on research. For recommendations. Research- based collaborative filtering can eliminate the data problem and provide good advice. Also in our research- based project, collaborative filtering is used to identify similarities between products or articles that would help the system to recommend the best product and to fill the vacant assessments as needed. The recommendation system uses the following technologies to recommend products such as content filtering, collaborative filtering, and decision tree. We took the best algorithm result to predict the product. Many users don't find the best item or product. But this will be very helpful in this case for anyone looking for the best product with full information and at last similarity calculation results give a good accuracy performance.

### 5.3 Recommendations

- Try to deliver as much as accurate product recommendations.
- The system must function quickly and smoothly.


### 5.4 Implication for Further Study

At the time of analysis and research, we discovered so many other implementation and challenges in the field of product recommendation, and there are also many opportunities for future research in this area. Comparing other countries in different categories on this Recommendation page.

- How to build hybrid recommender systems that might work on personalized and non-personalize user.


## Appendix A: Research Reflection

There is some problem with our research- based project 'Personalized Recommender System for Supershop" and we overcome it very carefully.
First, we try to find global data. But we can't find out about these data types. This is why we collected data from different sources, which data types we wanted, we needed.

Second, when we preprocessed this data. We did not need some properties and some attributes for our research project. So we're grouping this record.
Thirdly, we used WEKA 3.8 for the forecast. We had the main problem with these parts. We need time for the prediction algorithm selected. After all, we handle it very carefully and succeeded.

Finally, when we did all the work. It's time, we'll write reports. We did it successfully.
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